

Waste Disposal Practices, Health Awareness and Challenges in Rapidly Growing Populations: A Case Study of Ede, Southwestern Nigeria

Sunday Kayode Aroge^{A,C*}, Adeleye Y. B. Anifowose^A, Mumini Idowu Adarabioyo^B

- ^A Department of Remote Sensing and Geoscience Information System, Federal University of Technology, Akure, Nigeria; ORCID SKA: 0000-0003-2003-8316
- ^B Department of Mathematical and Physical Science, Afe Babalola University, Ado-Ekiti, Nigeria; ORCID MIA: 0000-0002-8137-3911
- ^c Department of Surveying and Geoinformatics, Federal Polytechnic Ede, Osun State. Nigeria

KEYWORDS

- Waste disposal
- Health awareness
- Socio-demographic
- Populations
- Regression

ABSTRACT

Waste disposal and management have emerged as pressing challenges in urban areas, particularly in developing countries where rapid population growth exacerbates the situation. This study examines waste management practices, health awareness, and the associated challenges in Ede, Osun State, Nigeria. A structured questionnaire was distributed to 310 respondents using a simple random sampling method. The analysis revealed that waste disposal practices predominantly involve open burning (34.2%) and disposal in rivers/streams (26.8%), both of which pose significant environmental and health risks. Despite a high percentage of organic waste (74.2%), sustainable practices such as recycling (7.1%) and composting (3.2%) are minimally utilized. Using ordinal logistic regression, important predictors of waste disposal methods were found. These included lack of money, inadequate facilities, and low awareness. Additionally, dissatisfaction with waste management services correlated with poor waste disposal practices. The findings underscore the urgent need for enhanced public education, infrastructure improvements, and the promotion of sustainable waste management practices to mitigate environmental and health impacts in the study area.

Introduction

Waste disposal and management have emerged as critical issues in rapidly growing populations, particularly in urban areas where the surge in population density aggravates the challenges associated with waste generation and disposal (Gutberlet, 2018). As communities expand, the volume of waste produced increases, often exceeding the capacity of local waste management systems. This disparity can lead to significant environmental and public health concerns (Omang et al., 2021; Ichipi & Senekane, 2023). Improper waste disposal methods, such as open dumping, burning, and unregulated landfill use, contribute to pollution, spreading diseases, and degrading ecosystems. However, the health implications of improper waste disposal are profound, affecting both the immediate and long-term well-being of populations. In many developing countries, informal and unregulated waste disposal methods are prevalent due to inadequate infrastructure and limited resources. According to a study by Kaza et al. (2018) open dump-

^{*}Corresponding author: Sunday Kayode Aroge; e-mail: <u>kayodearoge2000@gmail.com</u>

doi: 10.5937/gp29-55107

Received: December 1, 2024 Revised: March 15, 2025 Accepted: March 19, 2025

ing is common in lower-income countries, where landfills are not yet available. While just 2% of waste is disposed of in high-income countries, over 93% of garbage in low-income countries is burned or dumped in roads, open spaces, or rivers. Future waste increase will be greatly impacted by the fact that over two-thirds of waste is disposed of in South Asia and Sub-Saharan Africa. These practices pose severe risks to public health and the environment, contributing to air and water pollution and facilitating the spread of infectious diseases. Moreover, the lack of public awareness and inadequate infrastructure further complicate waste management efforts. Understanding the interplay between waste disposal practices and health awareness is crucial for developing sustainable solutions.

Recent studies on improper waste management globally, with a particular focus on Africa, reveal significant health and environmental implications. The mismanagement of waste, particularly in developing countries, has been linked to severe public health risks and environmental degradation. For instance, Sarkingobir et al. (2022) highlight that improper biomedical waste management can lead to soil and water pollution, which in turn affects agricultural productivity and public health through the contamination of food sources. This is echoed by Odonkor and Mahami (2020), who emphasize that improper disposal of healthcare waste in Ghanaian hospitals poses risks of infectious disease transmission, underscoring the urgent need for effective waste management policies. The COVID-19 pandemic has aggravated waste management challenges worldwide, particularly in developing countries. Fadhullah et al. (2022) note that the pandemic has complicated household waste management due to increased waste generation and the potential transmission of SARS-CoV-2 through municipal solid waste handling. This situation is further complicated in Africa, where inadequate infrastructure and governance hinder effective waste management practices. Godfrey et al. (2020) argued that good governance is essential for sustainable waste management, advocating for enhanced capacity in financial, institutional, and technological aspects to address the challenges faced by African nations. In Ethiopia, high levels of improper solid waste management practices, with significant portions of waste being disposed of in unauthorized locations, were reported by Lema et al. (2019). This finding is consistent with studies in other African countries, such as Ghana and Tanzania, where improper disposal rates are alarmingly high (Teferi, 2022). The implications of such practices are profound, as they contribute to environmental pollution and health hazards, including gastrointestinal diseases among waste workers and nearby residents (Adeyi & Adeyemi, 2019).

Solid waste management remains a critical issue in Ede and its surrounding areas in Osun State, Southwestern Nigeria, aggravated by rapid urbanization, population growth, and industrial activities. Omoge et al. (2021) in his study among residents of Buari Ishola Isibo in Ede North Local Government Area, reported that, currently, waste disposal in Ede involves a mix of informal practices such as open dumping and burning, alongside formal systems like municipal collection services. These practices pose severe risks to public health and the environment, contributing to the spread of various infectious diseases in the area. Hence this study therefore aims to investigate the current waste disposal practices, level of health awareness, and associated challenges in the entire Ede and its environ, with a view to identifying sustainable solutions.

Effective waste management strategies are crucial in addressing the challenges posed by improper waste disposal, which include environmental pollution, public health risks, and the depletion of natural resources (Omoge et al., 2021; Gupta et al., 2024). Implementing these strategies helps mitigate these issues and promotes sustainable development. Public awareness and education are critical components in addressing improper waste management. Ouma (2021) emphasizes the importance of training and awareness campaigns to foster responsible waste disposal practices among communities. Similarly, study in South Africa indicates that increased awareness of improper waste disposal correlates with better management practices among households (Raphela et al., 2024). This study entails grassroots and in-depth knowledge of the study area through the administration of questionnaires for valuable insights into waste disposal practices, socio-demographic influences, and public health awareness, randomly across the study area. The use of questionnaires serve as a vital tool for policymakers, environmental agencies, and researchers in developing effective waste management strategies tailored to the needs of rapidly growing populations.

Study Area

Ede is an ancient town in Osun State, Nigeria, located in the southwestern part of the country. It consists of Ede North and South Local Government Areas (comprising 21 wards). Ede is situated approximately 20 kilometers east of Oshogbo, the state capital. It is located between latitude 7° 35'00"N and 7° 47'00"N of the Equator and longitude 4° 18'00"E and 4° 36'00"E of the Greenwich meridian (Figure 1). Ede has an altitude of approximately 287 m above mean sea level and experiences a tropical savanna climate with two distinct seasons: wet (April-October) and dry (November-March). The average temperature ranges from 22°C to 32°C. The town is accessible via Iwo, Oshogbo, Ife, and Ara. The town covers an area of 330 km² with a projected population of approximately 314,738 as of 2022 by the National Bureau of Statistics. The town's economy is diverse, with residents engaged in trading, farming, and artisanal crafts. The presence of three (3) higher educational insti-



Figure 1. Location Map of the Study Area (Source: Author)

tutions, i.e., Federal Polytechnic Ede, Redeemer's University, and Adeleke University, adds to the town's demographic dynamics, attracting students, staff, and visitors. Despite its historical and cultural wealth, Ede faces mod-

Data and method

Sample Size

A structured questionnaire was employed as the primary research instrument to obtain comprehensive data on waste generation and management practices in Ede. To accurately assess solid waste generation and disposal methods in the study area, the study utilizes a simple random sampling method to capture the diverse waste production patterns across residential, commercial, industrial, and institutional sectors. The provided data represents a sample of 310 respondents gotten from simple random sampling distributed across the political wards in Ede and the surrounding area, Southwest, Nigeria, with various demographic and socio-economic variables. An adequate sample size was determined by employing the appropriate sample calculation procedure below. Sample size was determined based on the estimation method outlined by Israel (2012), with results provided below:

$$n = \frac{N \cdot Z^2 \cdot p(1-p)}{E^2 \cdot (N-1) + Z^2(p(1-p))}$$

Where *n* is the sample size *N* is the population size (314,738)

ern challenges, particularly in waste generation and management, necessitating sustainable solutions to maintain the town's environmental health and quality of life (Odeyemi et al., 2024).

- Z is the Z-score (95% confidence level equivalent to 1.96 critical value)
- *p* is the estimated proportion of an attribute that present in the population (commonly 0.5 for maximum variability)
- *E* is the margin of error (0.05 or 5%)

$$n = \frac{314738 \cdot 1.96^2 \cdot 0.5(1 - 0.5)}{0.05^2 \cdot (314738 - 1) + 1.96^2 \cdot (0.5(1 - 0.5))}$$

Estimation of respondent rate was $310/384 \cdot 100 = 81\%$ Non respondent rate was estimated as $74/384 \cdot 100 = 19\%$

Methods of Data Analysis

In this study, quantitative methods were used for the questionnaire analysis to present the collected data. Descriptive statistics was used to determine the socio-demographic variables, waste type and disposal methods, waste disposal practices, health awareness, and challenges in the studied area. The ordinal logistic regression was used to model the impact of waste type and health awareness and challenges on the method of waste disposal. The ArcGIS 10.8 software was used to generate various maps in the study.

Results and discussion

Socio-Demographic Variables

This section focuses on the socio-demographic status of respondents from Ede, Osun State, Nigeria, aiming to capture a comprehensive picture of the community. The data was collected from a sample of 310 individuals, encompassing various aspects such as age, gender, ethnicity, education, and occupation. Each of these variables plays a significant role in shaping the socio-economic landscape of the region. By examining these variables, a deeper understanding of the population's structure and the factors that influence their daily lives and opportunities was gained.

Table 1 presents the socio-demographic variables of the respondents in this study. The socio-demographic profile of respondents in this study reflects a diverse and representative sample of Ede, Osun State's population. A majority (45%) of respondents fall within the 18–30 age group, followed by 39.4% in the 31–45 age bracket, indicating a predominantly working-age sample. This aligns with re-

cent findings by Chukwuone et al. (2022), who observed that younger populations in Nigerian urban centers exhibit higher waste generation rates due to increased consumption linked to economic activity. The gender distribution is balanced (slight female majority), mirroring trends in Nigerian waste management studies such as Adeoye et al. (2025), which emphasized gender inclusivity in environmental surveys to avoid behavioral bias. Ethnically, Yoruba respondents dominate (reflecting Ede's demographics), ensuring findings mirror local practices. This resonates with Nigeria's National Bureau of Statistics (2022) report, which highlights ethnicity as a key factor in shaping community-specific waste practices in southwestern Nigeria. Educationally, over half of respondents completed secondary education, a factor strongly correlated with waste management awareness, as noted in Adekola et al. (2021) for similar semi-urban Nigerian populations. Economically, most respondents are self-employed, students, or formally employed, suggesting varied waste generation

| Variables | | Frequency | Percent % | |
|-----------|--------------------|-----------|-----------|--|
| | under 18 | 1 | .3 | |
| | 18-30 | 139 | 44.8 | |
| Age | 31-45 | 122 | 39.4 | |
| | 46-60 | 42 | 13.5 | |
| | above 60 | 6 | 1.9 | |
| | Total | 310 | 100.0 | |
| | Male | 148 | 47.7 | |
| Gender | Female | 162 | 52.3 | |
| | Total | 310 | 100.0 | |
| Ethnicity | Yoruba | 285 | 91.9 | |
| | Igbo | 14 | 4.5 | |
| | Hausa/Fulani | 11 | 3.5 | |
| | Total | 310 | 100.0 | |
| | No Formal | 43 | 13.9 | |
| | Primary Education | 55 | 17.7 | |
| Education | Secondary | 113 | 36.5 | |
| | Tertiary Education | 99 | 31.9 | |
| | Total | 310 | 100.0 | |
| | Employed | 54 | 17.4 | |
| | Self-employed | 157 | 50.6 | |
| | Student | 69 | 22.3 | |
| | Unemployed | 17 | 5.5 | |
| | Retired | 13 | 4.2 | |
| | Total | 310 | 100.0 | |

 Table 1. Socio-Demographic Variables

Source: Result from questionnaire, 2024

patterns. For instance, self-employed individuals (e.g., traders) may produce more organic or commercial waste, while students generate recyclables like plastics, as observed in Sahathu (2021) and Opusunju et al. (2024). These socio-demographic nuances underscore the need for tailored waste strategies, consistent with Wolff et al. (2021), who argued that localized interventions must account for economic diversity and cultural norms in sub-Saharan African communities.

Waste disposal, health awareness and challenges

Table 2 provides valuable insights into the community's practices and perceptions regarding waste disposal, health awareness, and related challenges in Ede, Osun State, Nigeria. The data reveal several key issues and trends that

impact environmental health and waste management effectiveness. The types of waste present in a community and their disposal methods can significantly impact environmental and public health.

Firstly, the predominant type of waste identified is organic/paper waste, constituting 74.2% of the total waste, followed by plastic at 21.0% and glass/metal/electronics at 4.8%. This distribution aligns with findings from Noufal et al. (2020), who reported that organic waste often forms the largest component of household waste in various regions. The high percentage of organic waste suggests a potential for composting initiatives, which could mitigate the environmental impact of waste disposal and enhance soil fertility, as noted by Rani et al. (2022). To utilize the organic fraction of municipal solid waste (OFMSW) for soil ferti-

| | Table 2. | . Waste g | eneration ty | /pes, dispos | sal and hea | Ith awareness |
|--|----------|-----------|--------------|--------------|-------------|---------------|
|--|----------|-----------|--------------|--------------|-------------|---------------|

| Variables | | Frequency | Percent % |
|--|---|-----------|-----------|
| | Organic/paper: (Less harmful) | 230 | 74.2 |
| T | Plastic: (harmful) | 65 | 21.0 |
| Type of waste | Glass/Metal/Electronics: (very harmful) | 15 | 4.8 |
| | Total | 310 | 100.0 |
| | Dark flowing water/odour/fire/smoke | 241 | 77.7 |
| Which of these do you notice | Mosquitoes/cockroaches | 57 | 18.4 |
| in and around public waste | Animal Presence | 10 | 3.2 |
| area? | Human scavenger | 2 | 0.6 |
| | Total | 310 | 100.0 |
| Did vou ever hear of health | No | 178 | 57.4 |
| problems due to solid waste | Yes | 132 | 42.6 |
| in your area? | Total | 310 | 100.0 |
| | Uninformed | 12 | 3.9 |
| How adequately informed | Not well informed | 108 | 34.8 |
| are you about the potential environmental impact of improper waste disposal? | Well informed | 115 | 37.1 |
| | Very well informed | 75 | 24.2 |
| | Total | 310 | 100.0 |
| Have you ever received | No | 205 | 66.1 |
| education or training on proper waste management practices? | Yes | 105 | 33.9 |
| | Total | 310 | 100.0 |
| | By road side | 13 | 4.2 |
| | open space in the compound | 51 | 16.5 |
| | In rivers/stream | 83 | 26.8 |
| Waste Disposal Method | Open burning | 106 | 34.2 |
| | Public bin | 17 | 5.5 |
| | Recycling | 22 | 7.1 |
| | Composting | 10 | 3.2 |
| | Reuse for other purposes | 8 | 2.6 |
| | Total | 310 | 100.0 |

Source: Result from questionnaire, 2024

lization through composting, primary waste segregation at the source is essential to ensure the production of uncontaminated, nutrient-rich compost suitable for agricultural use. Source segregation prevents contamination from non-biodegradable materials (e.g., plastics, metals) and hazardous substances, which can compromise compost quality and soil health (Adeoye et al., 2024). This step aligns with the waste management hierarchy (reduce, reuse, recycle), as emphasized by Pires and Martinho (2019), by prioritizing waste prevention and material recovery. Effective segregation at the household or institutional level enables the collection of organic waste (e.g., food scraps, garden waste) for composting, reducing the burden on landfills and minimizing environmental pollution (Policastro & Cesaro, 2023; Adeoye et al., 2024).

The data also highlights concerning observations in and around public waste bins, with 77.7% of respondents noting issues such as dark flowing water, odors, and smoke. These findings resonate with the research by Oruonye et al. (2018), which emphasizes the environmental degradation resulting from improper waste disposal, including contamination of water sources and air pollution. Such conditions not only affect the environment but also pose significant health risks, as improper waste management can lead to the proliferation of disease vectors like mosquitoes and cockroaches. Regarding health problems associated with solid waste, 42.6% of respondents acknowledged having heard of health issues linked to waste in their area. This awareness is crucial, as highlighted by the work of Marange et al. (2023), which discusses the public health threats posed by improper waste management. The lack of education or training on proper waste management practices, with 66.1% of respondents indicating

they had not received such education, further exacerbates the issue. This lack of awareness is consistent with findings from Immurana et al. (2022), which suggest that education significantly influences waste disposal practices. The preferred waste disposal methods reveal a troubling trend, with open burning (34.2%) and disposal in rivers/ streams (26.8%) being the most common practices. This aligns with the observations made by Ishaq (Ishaq, 2023), who noted that open dumping remains a prevalent waste disposal method in many urban areas. Such practices not only contribute to environmental pollution but also pose health risks, as highlighted by the potential for leachate and landfill gas emissions discussed by Ardiatma (2023). The low rates of recycling (7.1%) and composting (3.2%) indicate a missed opportunity for sustainable waste management, which could be improved through community education and infrastructure development.

Furthermore, the data reflects a critical need for enhanced public education on waste management practices, improved waste disposal infrastructure, and the promotion of sustainable practices such as recycling and composting. Addressing these issues is essential for mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with solid waste disposal. The practice of separating waste at its source (e.g., households, businesses) into categories like recyclables, organics, and hazardous materials is a cornerstone of effective waste management. By prioritizing segregation at the point of generation, communities can drastically reduce contamination in recycling streams, enhance the efficiency of composting systems, and prevent hazardous materials from entering landfills or informal dumping sites. By emphasizing primary segregation, communities can directly address environmental degradation, reduce greenhouse



Figure 2. Distribution pattern of waste disposal methods across the political wards



Figure 3. Distribution pattern of waste generation types across the political wards

gas emissions from landfills, and minimize public health risks linked to improper waste handling.

Ordinal Regression of Waste disposal methods on Waste disposal, health awareness and challenges

The analysis of the ordinal regression of waste disposal methods on various factors, including health awareness and challenges, reveals significant insights into the waste management practices in Ede and its environs. The results are discussed below, focusing on the interpretation of estimates and odds ratios and their implications on public health and waste management strategies. The findings from this study offer critical insights into waste disposal behaviors and their determinants, contextualized within contemporary research on sustainable waste management. The strong preference for composting (OR = 13.343) and recycling (OR = 5.430) aligns with global shifts toward circular economies, where organic waste diversion and material recovery are prioritized to reduce landfill reliance (Kaza et al., 2018). The high odds for composting reflect growing recognition of its role in mitigating greenhouse gas emissions, as highlighted in a study linking organic waste management to a 47% reduction in methane emissions in urban areas (Chen et al., 2022; Manea et al., 2024). However, the lower odds for plastic

| Parameter Estimates | | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------|----------|------------|--------|----|------|-----------------|
| Variables | Categories | Estimate | Std. Error | Wald | df | Sig. | Odds Ratio (OR) |
| | By road side | -7.836 | .927 | 71.450 | 1 | .000 | 0.0004 |
| | open space in the compound | -4.211 | .703 | 35.916 | 1 | .000 | 0.0148 |
| | In rivers/stream | -2.047 | .667 | 9.432 | 1 | .002 | 0.1291 |
| Threshold: Methods of waste disposal | Open burning | .243 | .655 | .137 | 1 | .711 | 1.2751 |
| | Public bin | .705 | .659 | 1.146 | 1 | .284 | 2.0238 |
| | Recycling | 1.692 | .680 | 6.188 | 1 | .013 | 5.4303 |
| | Composting | 2.591 | .727 | 12.701 | 1 | .000 | 13.3431 |
| | Organic/paper(Less harmful) | .036 | .520 | .005 | 1 | .945 | 1.0367 |
| Waste Type | Plastic (harmful) | 105 | .557 | .036 | 1 | .850 | 0.9003 |
| | Glass/Metal | 0a | | | 0 | | |
| | Poor | -1.787 | .271 | 43.468 | 1 | .000 | 0.1675 |
| What is your personal waste disposal assessment | Fair | .557 | .384 | 2.098 | 1 | .147 | 1.7454 |
| | Good | 0a | | | 0 | | |

Table 3. Analysis of the ordinal regression

| Parameter Estimates | | | | | | | |
|---|---|----------|------------|--------|----|------|-----------------|
| Variables | Categories | Estimate | Std. Error | Wald | df | Sig. | Odds Ratio (OR) |
| | Monthly | -1.193 | .761 | 2.456 | 1 | .117 | 0.30331 |
| How often do you dispose of your household waste? How often do you dispose of your household waste | Bi-weekly | 1.106 | .555 | 3.972 | 1 | .046 | 3.0222 |
| | Weekly | .280 | .234 | 1.430 | 1 | .232 | 1.3231 |
| | Daily | 0a | | | 0 | | |
| Are there any waste separation and recycling programs | No | .561 | .262 | 4.599 | 1 | .032 | 1.75242 |
| available in your community | Yes | 0a | | | 0 | | |
| | Very dissatisfied | .098 | .327 | .090 | 1 | .765 | 1.10296 |
| How satisfied are you with the waste management | Dissatisfied | 1.006 | .468 | 4.620 | 1 | .032 | 2.73464 |
| services privided in your community | Satisfied | 088 | .563 | .024 | 1 | .876 | 0.91576 |
| | Very Satisfied | 0a | | | 0 | | |
| | Not Knowledgeable | 108 | .475 | .052 | 1 | .820 | 0.897 |
| How knowledgeable do you consider yourself about | Not very knowledgeable | 865 | .389 | 4.958 | 1 | .026 | 0.4211 |
| proper waste disposal practices | Somewhat knowledgeable | .178 | .432 | .169 | 1 | .681 | 1.1948 |
| | Very knowledgeable | 0a | | | 0 | | |
| Do you believe that the current waste disposal methods | No | 053 | .257 | .042 | 1 | .837 | 0.9484 |
| in your community are environmentally friendly | Yes | Oa | | | 0 | | |
| | Financial constraint | -9.025 | 1.017 | 78.737 | 1 | .000 | 0.00012 |
| | Insufficient waste disposal facilities | -2.702 | .325 | 69.093 | 1 | .000 | 0.0671 |
| Are there any challenges you face in managing | Limited awareness about recycling options | -1.700 | .284 | 35.829 | 1 | .000 | 0.1827 |
| induserioiti waste | Lack of proper waste collection services | 0a | | | 0 | | |
| | No | 449 | .237 | 3.593 | 1 | .058 | 0.63836 |
| | Yes | 0a | | | 0 | | |

waste management (OR = 0.900) mirror global challenges, with recent estimates suggesting only 9% of plastics are recycled globally, while 22% are mismanaged (d'Ambrières, 2019; OECD, 2022). This underscores the urgency of policies targeting plastic production and recycling infrastructure.

The persistence of open burning (OR = 1.275) despite health risks aligns with studies in low-resource settings where waste collection gaps force reliance on immediate, although harmful, solutions (Ferronato et al., 2021). For instance, a report by Cogut (2016) and Pathak et al. (2023) identified open burning as the source of 40% of airborne dioxins across the globe, exacerbating respiratory diseases. Similarly, river dumping (OR = 0.129) reflects systemic failures in waste infrastructure, a challenge amplified in coastal regions where 8 million tons of plastic enter oceans annually (Borrelle et al., 2020).

The modest use of public bins (OR = 2.024) compared to composting suggests infrastructure gaps, consistent with findings that 60% of urban residents in developing nations lack reliable waste collection (Kaza et al., 2018; Nepal et al., 2023). The preference for biweekly disposal (OR = 3.022)

mirrors success in cities like Accra, Ghana, where tailored collection schedules improved compliance by 25% (Sar-fo-Mensah et al., 2019). Severe financial constraints (OR = 0.00012) remain a critical barrier, echoing a 2023 global survey where 74% of municipalities cited funding shortages as the primary obstacle to waste management upgrades (Debrah et al., 2022; World Economic Forum, 2023).

The reduced likelihood of proper disposal among less knowledgeable respondents (OR = 0.421) underscores findings from Nigeria, where targeted digital campaigns increased recycling awareness (Osagwu & Nkamnebe, 2025). The marginal benefits of "somewhat knowledgeable" individuals (OR = 1.195) align with a 2021 behavioral study showing that even basic education can reduce contamination in recycling streams (Nurdin et al., 2023).

The preference for managing organic/paper waste (OR = 1.037) signals readiness for primary segregation, a strategy proven to reduce processing costs when paired with community training (Budihardjo et al., 2022). For example, Bandung City, Indonesia, achieved high waste diversion through neighborhood-level segregation programs (Lubis, 2018).

Conclusion

This study highlights the pressing challenges of waste management in Ede, Osun State, driven by rapid urbanization and limited infrastructure. Predominant reliance on environmentally detrimental disposal methods such as open burning and river dumping reflects a critical gap in sustainable practices. Financial constraints, insufficient facilities, and inadequate public awareness emerged as key barriers to effective waste management. The low adoption of recycling and composting practices suggests missed opportunities for mitigating waste impacts and fostering sustainability. Addressing these challenges requires a multifaceted approach, including community education, investment in waste management infrastructure, and policy reforms to promote sustainable practices. Global policy frameworks like the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) provide a critical roadmap for local municipalities to align their Municipal Solid Waste (MSW) management strategies with internationally recognized sustainability targets (Vaidya & Chatterji, 2020). By embedding SDGs into MSW management, municipalities can unlock resources, foster innovation, and contribute to a global sustainability agenda (Wang et al., 2018). This alignment not only addresses local challenges but also amplifies their role in achieving planetary health. Improved governance and active public engagement are essential for achieving environmentally friendly and health-conscious waste management solutions in Ede and similar urban settings. While this study provides valuable insights into waste management practices in Ede, several limitations should be acknowledged:

Limitations in this study reflected that while the study identifies infrastructure gaps and policy enforcement issues, it does not comprehensively assess the effectiveness of existing government regulations and waste management frameworks. Further research is needed to evaluate policy implementation and its impact on local waste management.

Although the study discusses the health risks associated with poor waste disposal, it does not include direct health assessments or epidemiological studies to measure the actual impact on community health. Future research could incorporate medical data to establish stronger links between waste disposal practices and health outcomes.

Addressing these limitations in future research could provide a more comprehensive understanding of waste management challenges and inform the development of more effective and sustainable waste management policies.

References

- Adekola, P. O., Iyalomhe, F. O., Paczoski, A., Abebe, S. T., Pawłowska, B., Bąk, M., & Cirella, G. T. (2021). Public perception and awareness of waste management from Benin City. *Scientific Reports*, 11(1), 306. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-79688-y</u>
- Adeoye, D. O., Ojolowo, S., & Ojo, O. I. (2024). Gender involvement in municipal solid waste management in Nigeria: A review of perceptions, practices, and challenges. *Journal of Architecture and Civil Engineering*, 9(9), 44–53. <u>https://www.questjournals.org</u>
- Adeyemi, O. O., Abraham, F. U., Adekale, T. A., Adesegun, M. M., Coursin, O. O., & Odide, C. E. (2021). Assessment of solid waste management practices among residents of Buari Ishola Isibo in Ede North Local Government Area, Osun State, Nigeria. *Pollution*, 4(5).
- Adeyi, A. and Adeyemi, A. (2019). Potential occupational health effects of municipal solid waste management in Nigeria, the case of Lagos and Ibadan. *Ife Journal of Science*, 21(2), 417. <u>https://doi.org/10.4314/ijs.v21i2.15</u>
- Ardiatma, D. (2023). Complexity of waste handling technology at final disposal site of Bantargebang, Bekasi city. IOP Conference Series Earth and Environmental Science, 1257(1), 012006. <u>https://doi.org/10.1088/1755-1315/1257/1/012006</u>

- Borrelle, S. B., Ringma, J., Law, K. L., Monnahan, C. C., Lebreton, L., McGivern, A., ... & Rochman, C. M. (2020).
 Predicted growth in plastic waste exceeds efforts to mitigate plastic pollution. *Science*, 369(6510), 1515-1518.
 10.1126/science.aba3656
- Budihardjo, M. A., Ardiansyah, S. Y., & Ramadan, B. S. (2022). Community-driven material recovery facility (CDMRF) for sustainable economic incentives of waste management: Evidence from Semarang City, Indonesia. *Habitat International*, 119(6), 102488. 10.1016/j.habitatint.2021.102488
- Chen, W., Liu, J., Zhu, B. H., Shi, M. Y., Zhao, S. Q., He, M. Z., & Chen, Y. P. (2022). The GHG mitigation opportunity of sludge management in China. *Environmental Research*, 212, 113284. 10.1016/j.envres.2022.113284
- Chukwuone, N. A., Amaechina, E. C., & Ifelunini, I. A. (2022). Determinants of household's waste disposal practices and willingness to participate in reducing the flow of plastics into the ocean: Evidence from coastal city of Lagos Nigeria. *PloS ONE*, 17(4), e0267739. <u>https://</u> doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0267739
- Cogut, A. (2016). Open Burning of Waste: A Global Health Disaster. <u>https://api.semanticscholar.org/Corpu-</u> sID:202548765

d'Ambrières, W. (2019). Plastics recycling worldwide: Current overview and desirable changes. *Reinventing Plastics, Special Issue*, 19, 12–21.

Debrah, J. K., Teye, G. K., & Dinis, M. A. P. (2022). Barriers and challenges to waste management hindering the circular economy in Sub-Saharan Africa. *Urban Science*, 6(3), 57. <u>https://doi.org/10.3390/urbansci6030057</u>

- Fadhullah, W., Imran, N., Ismail, S., Jaafar, M., & Abdullah, H. (2022). Household solid waste management practices and perceptions among residents in the east coast of Malaysia. BMC Public Health, 22(1). <u>https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-021-12274-7</u>
- Ferronato, N. (2021). Integrated analysis for supporting solid waste management development projects in low to middle income countries: The NAVA-CE approach. *Environmental Development*, 39, 100643. <u>https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.envdev.2021.100643</u>
- Godfrey, L., Ahmed, M., Gebremedhin, K., Katima, J., Oelofse, S., Osibanjo, O., & Yonli, A. (2020). Solid waste management in Africa: Governance failure or development opportunity? In *Regional development in Africa*. IntechOpen. <u>https://doi.org/10.5772/intechopen.86974</u>
- Gupta, P., Sharma, A., & Bhardwaj, L. K. (2024). Solid waste management (SWM) and its effect on environment & human health. In Futuristic trends in agriculture engineering & food sciences (Vol. 3, Book 4, pp. 91–101). IIP Series. <u>https://doi.org/10.58532/V3BCAG4P1CH7</u>
- Gutberlet, J. (2018). Waste in the city: Challenges and opportunities for urban agglomerations. In M. Ergen (Ed.), Urban agglomeration. IntechOpen. <u>https://doi.org/10.5772/intechopen.72047</u>
- Ichipi, E. B., & Senekane, M. F. (2023). An evaluation of the impact of illegal dumping of solid waste on public health in Nigeria: A case study of Lagos State. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, 20(22), 7069. <u>https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph20227069</u>
- Immurana, M., Kisseih, K., Yakubu, M., & Yusif, H. (2022). Financial inclusion and households' choice of solid waste disposal in Ghana. *BMC Public Health*, 22, 1117 <u>https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-022-13512-2</u>
- Ishaq, S. (2023). A study on the modes of disposal of household plastic waste in Kaduna metropolis. International Journal of Emerging Multidisciplinary Biomedical and Clinical Research, 1(2). <u>https://doi.org/10.54938/ijemdbmcr.2023.01.2.215</u>
- Israel, G. D. (2013). Determining sample size. Institute of Food and Agricultural Sciences (IFAS), University of Florida, PEOD-6, 1–5.
- Kaza, S., Yao, L., Bhada-Tata, P., & Van Woerden, F. (2018). What a waste 2.0: A global snapshot of solid waste management to 2050. World Bank Publications.
- Lema, G., Mesfun, M., Eshete, A., & Abdeta, G. (2019). Assessment of status of solid waste management in Asella

town, Ethiopia. BMC Public Health, 19, 1261. <u>https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-019-7551-1</u>

- Lubis, R. L. (2018). Managing ecopreneurship: The waste bank way with bank Samppah Bersinar (BSB) in Bandung City, Indonesia. *Intern J Multidiscipline Thought*, 7(3), 325-360.
- Manea, E. E., Bumbac, C., Dinu, L. R., Bumbac, M., & Nicolescu, C. M. (2024). Composting as a sustainable solution for organic solid waste management: Current practices and potential improvements. Sustainability, 16(15), 6329. <u>https://doi.org/10.3390/su16156329</u>
- Marange, F., Muteveri, M., Chipfunde, F., & Mapira, J. (2023). Challenges confronting local authorities in solid waste management: the case of Dangamvura residential area, Mutare, Zimbabwe. *European Journal of Social Sciences Studies*, 8(5). <u>https://doi.org/10.46827/ejsss.v8i5.1477</u>
- National Bureau of Statistics (Nigeria). (2022). Demographic statistics bulletin 2022. Abuja: NBS.
- Nepal, M., Karki Nepal, A., Khadayat, M. S., Rai, R. K., Shyamsundar, P., & Somanathan, E. (2023). Low-cost strategies to improve municipal solid waste management in developing countries: experimental evidence from Nepal. *Environmental and Resource Economics*, 84(3), 729-752. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s10640-021-00640-3</u>
- Noufal, M., Liu, Y., Maalla, Z., & Adipah, S. (2020). Determinants of household solid waste generation and composition in Homs city, Syria. *Journal of Environmental and Public Health*, 2020, 1-15. <u>https://doi.org/10.1155/2020/7460356</u>
- Nurdin, R. I., Nugraha, H., Arbie, I. I., Hamdani, S. A., Lestari, R., Khoerunnisa, I., Rachmawati, R., Aprilia, T., Adhiyaksa, M. V., Samsuhardo, R., Setiadi, B. I., & Hidayat, M. (2023). Community re-education on the urgency of keeping the river clean and sorting waste in Desa Cikole Kec. Lembang. *Dedicated: Journal of Community Services*, 1(1). <u>https://doi.org/10.17509/dedicated.</u> <u>v1i1.59225</u>
- Odeyemi, E., Chesser, S., King, A. C., & Porter, M. M. (2024). Engaging Nigerian older persons in neighborhood environment assessment for physical activity participation: A citizen science project. *Innovation in Aging*, 8(4), igad066. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/geroni/igad066</u>
- Odonkor, S. T., & Mahami, T. (2020). Healthcare waste management in Ghanaian hospitals: Associated public health and environmental challenges. *Waste Management & Research*, 38(8), 831-839. 10.1177/0734242X20914748
- OECD. (2022). Global plastics outlook: Economic drivers, environmental impacts and policy options. OECD Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1787/de747aef-en
- Omang, S. M., Abubakar, I. R., & Adebayo, A. A. (2021). Challenges of solid waste management in urban areas: A case study of Nigeria. *Environmental Science and Pollution*

Research, 28(15), 18700–18712. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/</u> <u>s11356-021-13156-5</u>

- Opusunju, O. C., Azubuike, E. J., & Nwabude, I. (2024). Study assessing Port Harcourt Superstores' contributions to sustainable development goals via consumer waste management Behaviours. *Journal of Economics, Innovative Management and Entrepreneurship*, 2(1). <u>https://</u> <u>doi.org/10.59652/jeime.v2i1.121</u>
- Oruonye, E. D., Danladi, T. E., & Ahmed, Y. M. (2018). Assessment of public perception and awareness of the effect of poor solid waste disposal on the environment in Jalingo Metropolis. *Asian Review of Environmental and Earth Sciences*, 5(1), 27-33. 10.20448/journal.506.2018.51.27.33
- Osagwu, A. O., & Nkamnebe, A. D. (2025). A Conceptual Framework of Predictors of Electronic Wastes Disposal Behavior among Youths in South-South, Nigeria: The Moderating Effect of Education. *International Journal* of Business and Management Review, 13(1), 40–59. <u>https://</u> doi.org/10.37745/ijbmr.2013/vol13n14059
- Ouma, B., Wandayi, O. M., Muthama, J. N., George, A., & Villacampa, M. (2021). Knowledge, attitudes, and practices synthesis of waste management among horticultural processing MSMEs in Kenya. East African Journal of Science, Technology and Innovation, 2. <u>https://doi.org/10.37425/eajsti.v2i.348</u>
- Pathak, G., Nichter, M., Hardon, A., Moyer, E., Latkar, A., Simbaya, J., & Love, J. (2023). Plastic pollution and the open burning of plastic wastes. *Global Environmental Change*, 80, 102648. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloenv-cha.2023.102648</u>
- Phung, V. L. H., Uttajug, A., Ueda, K., Yulianti, N., Latif, M. T., & Naito, D. (2022). A scoping review on the health effects of smoke haze from vegetation and peatland fires in Southeast Asia: Issues with study approaches and interpretation. *PloS ONE*, 17(9), e0274433. <u>https:// doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0274433</u>
- Pires, A., & Martinho, G. (2019). Waste hierarchy index for circular economy in waste management. *Waste Management*, 95, 298-305. 10.1016/j.wasman.2019.06.014
- Policastro, G., & Cesaro, A. (2023). Composting of organic solid waste of municipal origin: the role of research in enhancing its sustainability. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 20(1), 312. <u>https://</u> doi.org/10.3390/ijerph20010312
- Rani, V. U., Lakshmi, V. V., Ratnakumari, D., & Meena, A. (2022). Households' waste disposal practices and its im-

pact on health. International Journal of Environment and Climate Change, 12(12), 252-260. <u>https://doi.org/10.9734/</u> ijecc/2022/v12i121461

- Raphela, T., Manqele, N., & Erasmus, M. (2024). The impact of improper waste disposal on human health and the environment: a case of Umgungundlovu District in Kwazulu Natal Province, South Africa. *Frontiers in Sustainability*, 5, 1386047. <u>https://doi.org/10.3389/frsus.2024.1386047</u>
- Sahathu, R. (2021). An evaluation of the waste management cycle utilized by fresh produce market informal traders in Durban, KwaZulu-Natal (Doctoral dissertation).
- Sarfo-Mensah, P., Obeng-Okrah, K., Arhin, A. A., Amaning, T. K., & Oblitei, R. T. (2019). Solid waste management in urban communities in Ghana: A case study of the Kumasi metropolis. *African Journal of Environmental Science and Technology*, 13(9), 342-353. <u>https://doi. org/10.5897/AJEST2019.2713</u>
- Sarkingobir, Y., Yabo, A., Yarima, S., Miya, Y., Sule, I., Bello, N., & Shehu, A. (2022). Biomedical waste management among primary health care workers, bauchi local government area, Bauchi state, Nigeria. *Jurnal Teknokes*, 15(4), 242-251. <u>https://doi.org/10.35882/teknokes.</u> <u>v15i4.348</u>
- Teferi, S. (2022). The status of household solid waste management and its associated factors in fiche town, north Shewa zone, Ethiopia. *Environmental Health Insights*, 16. <u>https://doi.org/10.1177/11786302221117007</u>
- Vaidya, H., & Chatterji, T. (2020). SDG 11 sustainable cities and communities: SDG 11 and the new urban agenda: Global sustainability frameworks for local action. In Actioning the Global Goals for Local Impact (pp. 12). <u>https://</u> doi.org/10.1007/978-981-32-9927-6_12
- Wang, J., Maier, S. D., Horn, R., Holländer, R., & Aschemann, R. (2018). Development of an ex-ante sustainability assessment methodology for municipal solid waste management innovations. *Sustainability*, 10(9), 3208. https://doi.org/10.3390/su10093208
- Wolff, G. (2024). Promoting gender equality through localized development strategies: leveraging identification. *Journal of International Humanitarian Action*, 9, 13. https://doi.org/10.1186/s41018-024-00156-7
- World Economic Forum. (2023). Closing the loop: Financing circular economy transitions in waste management. <u>https://</u> www.weforum.org/reports/closing-the-loop-financing-circular-economy-transitions